Best Fidelity Conditions for Three Party Quantum Teleportation

Sangjin Sim,¹ Jeongwan Jin,¹ and Younghun Kwon^{1,2}

Received December 30, 2004; accepted March 30, 2005

Using the entangled three qubit states classified by Acin *et al.* we find the best fidelity conditions for quantum teleportation among three parties.

KEY WORDS: quantum information; quantum teleportation.

Quantum teleportation is an efficient way to transmit a quantum information when classical communications (CC) and local operations (LO) are allowed to parties already sharing an entangled state. The quantum teleportation between two parties was proposed by Bennett *et al.* (1993). In quantum teleportation of two parties, Alice (sender) and Bob (receiver) share a maximally entangled state. Alice attaches the information state to shared entangled state and performs Bell basis measurement. And she sends her result to Bob. According to Alice's Bell basis measurement, Bob applies the corresponding unitary operation on his single qubit and obtains the original information state with certainty.

Quantum teleportation of three parties using a three qubit entangled state was introduced by Karlsson and Bourennane (1998). The main difference between quantum teleportation of the two parties and that of three parties is the concept of cosender. A sender first performs Bell basis measurement on his (or her) two qubits, (one is the information qubit and the other is the qubit entangled to other parties) and sends the measurement result to the co-sender and the receiver. The co-sender performs single qubit measurement according to the sender's measurement result and sends the measurement result to the receiver. Given the protocol provided in the secret when three parties are separated, the receiver performs local unitary operations according to the measurement results. Then the receiver can recover the information state with a probability.

1419

¹ Department of Physics, Hanyang University, Ansan, Kyunggi-Do, 425-791, South Korea.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed at Department of Physics, Hanyang University, Ansan, Kyunggi-Do, 425-791, South Korea; e-mail: yyhkwon@hanyang.ac.kr.

Recently Acin *et al.* showed that there are seven different entangled states in three qubit states (Acin *et al.*, 2001). In this paper, we consider quantum teleportation in three parties with those entangled states. In fact Yeo considered the quantum teleportation among three parties, using GHZ state and W state (Yeo, 2005). So we will consider the quantum teleportation among three parties, using the other entangled states except GHZ state and W state. For each case, we will provide the fidelity, the best fidelity condition and teleportation protocols.

This paper is organized as follows. In the Section I, we first review the three party quantum teleportation with GHZ state and W state. In the Section II, we consider quantum teleportation in three parties sharing different entangled states based on Acin *et al.*'s classification of three qubit states. And the roles of parties, sender, co-sender, and receiver, are determined. Also we give the best fidelity conditions for each case. In the Section III, we summarize and discuss our results.

1. QUANTUM TELEPORTATION IN THREE PARTIES WITH SYMMETRIC THREE-QUBIT STATES

Let us review quantum teleportation among three parties. Quantum teleportation in three parties sharing a three-qubit entangled state consists of three steps:

(1) First, three parties shares a three qubit entangled state. A sender performs Bell basis measurement on his (or her) two qubits, (one is the information qubit and the other the qubit entangled to other parties) and sends the measurement result *j* to the co-sender and the receiver. The Bell basis measurement makes use of the following projection operators: |Φ⁺⟩⟨Φ⁺| for *j* = 1, |Φ⁻⟩⟨Φ⁻| for *j* = 2, |Ψ⁺⟩⟨Ψ⁺| for *j* = 3, and |Ψ⁻⟩⟨Ψ⁻| for *j* = 4, where

$$|\Phi^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle \pm |11\rangle) \tag{1}$$

$$|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle \pm |10\rangle) \tag{2}$$

(2) The co-sender performs single qubit measurement, according to the sender's measurement result *j*, and sends the measurement result *k* to the receiver. The single qubit measurement applies the following pojections: |μ⁺⟩⟨μ⁺| for k = 1 and |μ⁻⟩⟨μ⁻| for k = 2, where

$$|\mu^{+}\rangle = \sin \nu |0\rangle + e^{i\kappa} \cos \nu |1\rangle \tag{3}$$

 $|\mu^{-}\rangle = \cos\nu|0\rangle - e^{i\kappa}\sin\nu|1\rangle \tag{4}$

(3) Given the protocol provided in the secret when three parties are separated, the receiver performs local unitary operations according to the

 Table I.
 The Protocol of the Quantum

 Teleportation in Three Parties When the GHZ
 States is Applied

	j = 1	j = 2	<i>j</i> = 3	<i>j</i> = 4
k = 1 $k = 2$	Ι σ.	σ_z	σ_x	$\sigma_y \sigma_x$

measurement results j and k. Then the party recovers the information state with a probability.

If $|\tau\rangle$ denotes the receiver's reconstructed state, then the success rate is measured by the fidelity of the original information state, $|\psi\rangle = \cos(\theta/2)|0\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin(\theta/2)|1\rangle$, and the $|\tau\rangle$, which is

$$\langle F \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \sum_{j,k} |\langle \psi | \tau \rangle|^2$$
(5)

When the GHZ state is shared in three parties, the fidelity is shown as $\langle F_{\text{GHZ}} \rangle = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \sin 2\nu$ given the protocol in Table I.

When the W state is shared in three parties, the fidelity is shown as $\langle F_W \rangle = 7/9$ given the protocol in Table II.

We here note that $\langle F_W \rangle > \langle F_{GHZ} \rangle$ in average. However, if $sin2\nu$ is greater than $\frac{1}{3}$, $F_{GHZ} > F_W$. And the best fidelity condition for F_{GHZ} is $\nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$. Here the best fidelity condition means that if the co-sender Bob can perform his single qubit measurement, according to the sender's measurement result *j*, using the following pojections: $|\mu^+\rangle\langle\mu^+|$ for k = 1 and $|\mu^-\rangle\langle\mu^-|$ for k = 2, where

$$|\mu^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \tag{6}$$

$$|\mu^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \tag{7}$$

then the fidelity produces the best result.

 Table II.
 The Protocol of the Quantum

 Teleportation in Three Parties When the W
 States is Applied

	j = 1	j = 2	<i>j</i> = 3	<i>j</i> = 4
k = 1 $k = 2$	$\sigma_x \ \sigma_x$	$\sigma_y \ \sigma_y$	I I	$\sigma_z \ \sigma_z$

2. QUANTUM TELEPORTATION WITH ASYMMETRIC STATES

The classification of three-qubit state by Acin *et al.* is as follows; Type 1 (Product states) Type 2a (Biseparable states)

$$|2aI\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |100\rangle + |101\rangle)$$
$$|2aII\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |100\rangle + |110\rangle)$$
(8)

Type 2b (GHZ state)

$$|2b\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle) \tag{9}$$

Type 3a (Tri-Bell state)

$$|3a\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |101\rangle + |110\rangle)$$
 (10)

Type 3b (Extended GHZ states)

$$|3bI\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |110\rangle + |111\rangle)$$
$$|3bII\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |100\rangle + |111\rangle)$$
(11)

$$|3bIII\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |101\rangle + |111\rangle)$$

Type 4a

$$|4a\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}(|000\rangle + |100\rangle + |101\rangle + |110\rangle)$$
 (12)

Type 4b

$$|4bI\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}(|000\rangle + |100\rangle + |110\rangle + |111\rangle)$$
$$|4bII\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}(|000\rangle + |100\rangle + |101\rangle + |111\rangle)$$
(13)

Type 4c

$$|4c\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}(|000\rangle + |101\rangle + |110\rangle + |111\rangle)$$
 (14)

Type 5 (Real states)

$$|5\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(|000\rangle + |100\rangle + |101\rangle + |110\rangle + |111\rangle)$$
(15)

Note that the tri-Bell state is equivalent to the W state. Therefore, we need to consider only type 3–5 states.

We now show all schemes of quantum teleportation in three parties sharing one of the these types. Note the reference of protocols. The two W protocols are equivalent with respect to a permutation of parties.

2.1. Quantum Teleportation in Three Parties Sharing the Extended GHZ State

An extended GHZ state is transformed to another extended GHZ state under permutations of parties. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the case that Alice, Bob and Cindy share the following state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|0_A 0_B 0_C\rangle + |0_A 1_B 1_C\rangle + |1_A 1_B 1_C\rangle)$$
(16)

Suppose that they want to teleport the information state $\cos(\theta/2)|0\rangle + e^{i\kappa} \sin(\theta/2)|1\rangle$. We know that Bob and Cindy are symmetric to a permutation of them. There are four choices in determining their roles of quantum teleportation. We will use ' \rightarrow ' to mean that a party sends the measurement result to another one via CC (one-way) and ' \leftrightarrow ' to mean that both \rightarrow and \leftarrow are possible via CC (two-way).

- 1. Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob \leftrightarrow Cindy The fidelity is $\frac{5}{9} + \frac{2}{9} \cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$ and the protocol is of GHZ. The best fidelity condition is $\kappa = 2n\pi$, $\nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$
- 2. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Cindy (receiver) The fidelity is $\frac{8}{9}$, and the protocol is of W in Table IV.
- 3. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver) The fidelity is $\frac{5}{9} + \frac{2}{9} \cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$, and the protocol is of GHZ. The best fidelity condition is $\kappa = 2n\pi$, $\nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$

2.2. Quantum Teleportation in Three Parties Sharing the Type4a State

Suppose that Alice, Bob and Cindy shared the following state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} (|0_A 0_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 0_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 0_B 1_C\rangle + |1_A 1_B 0_C\rangle)$$
(17)

Since Bob and Cindy are symmetric parties, there are four cases as follows,

1. Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob \leftrightarrow Cindy

The fidelity is $\frac{2}{3}$ and the protocol is of W in Table IV.

- 2. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Cindy (receiver) The fidelity is $\frac{2}{3}$ and the protocol is of W in Table V.
- 3. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver) The fidelity is $\frac{2}{3}$ and the protocol is of W in Table IV.

2.3. Quantum Teleportation in Three Parties Sharing the Type4b State

Suppose that Alice, Bob and Cindy shared the following state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} (|0_A 0_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 0_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 1_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 1_B 1_C\rangle)$$
(18)

Since there are no symmetric parties, there are six cases as follows,

1. Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob (co-sender) \leftrightarrow Cindy (receiver)

The fidelity is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$ and the protocol is of GHZ. The best fidelity condition is $\kappa = 2n\pi$, $\nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$

- Alice (sender) → Cindy (co-sender) → Bob (receiver) The fidelity is ³/₄ and the protocol is of W in Table IV.
- 3. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice \rightarrow Cindy The fidelity is $\frac{7}{12} + \frac{1}{6}\cos 2\nu + \frac{1}{6}\cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$ and the protocol is of GHZ. The best fidelity condition is $\kappa = 2n\pi$, $\nu = \frac{\pi}{8} + m\pi$
- 4. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver)

The fidelity is $\frac{3}{4}$ and the protocol is of W in Table IV.

- 5. Cindy (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Bob (receiver) The fidelity is $\frac{7}{12} + \frac{1}{6}\cos 2\nu + \frac{1}{6}\cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$ and the protocol is of
 - GHZ. The best fidelity condition is $\kappa = 2n\pi$, $\nu = \frac{\pi}{8} + m\pi$
- 6. Cindy (sender) \rightarrow Bob (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver)

The fidelity is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$ and the protocol is of GHZ. The best fidelity condition is $\kappa = 2n\pi$, $\nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$

2.4. Quantum Teleportation in Three Parties Sharing the Type4c State

Suppose that Alice, Bob and Cindy shared the following state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} (|0_A 0_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 0_B 1_C\rangle + |1_A 1_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 1_B 1_C\rangle)$$
(19)

Table III. GHZ Protocol

	j = 1	j = 2	j = 3	j = 4
k = 1 $k = 2$	$I = \sigma_z$	σ_z I	$\sigma_x \ \sigma_y$	$\sigma_y \ \sigma_x$

	Table	IV. W Pro	otocol I	
	j = 1	j = 2	<i>j</i> = 3	j = 4
k = 1	Ι	σ_z	σ_x	σ_y
k = 2	Ι	σ_z	σ_x	σ_y

Since Bob and Cindy are symmetric parties, there are four cases as follows,

1. Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob \leftrightarrow Cindy

The fidelity is $\frac{3}{4}$ and the protocol is of W in Table IV.

2. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Cindy (receiver)

The fidelity is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\cos\kappa\sin 2\nu$ and the protocol is of the second GHZ. The best fidelity condition is $\kappa = 2n\pi$, $\nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$

3. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver) The fidelity is $\frac{3}{4}$ and the protocol is of W in Table IV.

2.5. Quantum Teleportation in Three Parties Sharing the Type5 State

Suppose that Alice, Bob and Cindy shared the following state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} (|0_A 0_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 0_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 0_B 1_C\rangle + |1_A 1_B 0_C\rangle + |1_A 1_B 1_C\rangle) \quad (20)$$

Since Bob and Cindy are symmetric parties, there are four cases as follows,

1. Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob \leftrightarrow Cindy

The fidelity is $\frac{2}{3}$ and the protocol is of W in Table IV.

2. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Cindy (receiver) The fidelity is $\frac{8}{15} + \frac{2}{15}\cos 2\nu + \frac{2}{15}\cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$ and the protocol is of GHZ. The best fidelity condition is $\kappa = 2n\pi$, $\nu = \frac{\pi}{8} + m\pi$

3. Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver) The fidelity is $\frac{2}{3}$ and the protocol is of W in Table IV.

All scheme of quantum teleportatopn among three parties are shown in Table VI.

We here note that there are only two protocols W and GHZ in Tables III and IV. This is due to the different entanglement structure of W and GHZ states. In other words, W state cannot be transformed to GHZ state with a probability.

	Table V. W Protocol II			
	j = 1	j = 2	<i>j</i> = 3	j = 4
k = 1	σ_x	σ_y	I	σ_z
k = 2	σ_x	σ_y	Ι	σ_z

	Role	Fidelity	Protocol	Best fidelity condition
Extended GHZ	Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob \leftrightarrow Cindy	GHZ	$\frac{5}{9} + \frac{2}{9}\cos\kappa\sin 2\nu$	$\kappa = 2n\pi, \nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Cindy (receiver) Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver)	w GHZ	$\frac{9}{5}$ + $\frac{2}{9}$ cos κ sin 2ν	$\kappa = 2n\pi, \nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$
Type 4a	Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob \leftrightarrow Cindy	W	- CIR	
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Cindy (receiver)	W	010	
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver)	W	312	
Type 4b	Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob (co-sender) \leftrightarrow Cindy (receiver)	GHZ	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\cos\kappa\sin 2\nu$	$\kappa = 2n\pi, \nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$
	Alice (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Bob (receiver)	W	<i>∞</i> 4	
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice \rightarrow Cindy	GHZ	$\frac{7}{12} + \frac{1}{6}\cos 2\nu + \frac{1}{6}\cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$	$\kappa = 2n\pi, \nu = \frac{\pi}{8} + m\pi$
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver)	W	<u>∞ </u> 4	
	Cindy (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Bob (receiver)	GHZ	$\frac{7}{12} + \frac{1}{6}\cos 2\nu + \frac{1}{6}\cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$	$\kappa = 2n\pi, \nu = \frac{\pi}{8} + m\pi$
	Cindy (sender) \rightarrow Bob (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver)	GHZ	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\cos\kappa\sin 2\nu$	$\kappa = 2n\pi, \nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$
Type 4c	Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob \leftrightarrow Cindy	W	€0 4	
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Cindy (receiver)	GHZ	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\cos\kappa\sin 2\nu$	$\kappa = 2n\pi, \nu = \frac{\pi}{4} + m\pi$
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver)	W	∞ 4	
Type 5	Alice (sender) \rightarrow Bob \leftrightarrow Cindy	W	0100	
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Alice (co-sender) \rightarrow Cindy (receiver)	GHZ	$\frac{8}{15} + \frac{2}{15}\cos 2\nu + \frac{2}{15}\cos \kappa \sin 2\nu$	$\kappa = 2n\pi, \nu = \frac{\pi}{8} + m\pi$
	Bob (sender) \rightarrow Cindy (co-sender) \rightarrow Alice (receiver)	M	015 0	

Teleportation
Quantum
Party
Three
for '
Table
Ϋ.
Table

This implies that protocols can classify quantum states like stochastic LOCC. That is, we classify the five three-qubit states to two classes, W and GHZ, based on protocols.

GHZ-type W-type

Type2b | Type3, Type4, and Type5

3. CONCLUDING REMARK

In this report, we provided all schemes of quantum teleportation in three parties. Referring Acin *et al.*'s classification, which is based on the Schmidt decomposition with the set of strongly asymmetric basis, we considered all cases of quantum teleportation in three parties. We obtained the best fidelity condition for each case. We also assigned the the roles (sender, co-sender, and receiver) of the parties generically.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Y. Kwon is supported by the Fund of Hanyang University.

REFERENCES

- Acin, A., Brub, D., Lewenstein, M., and Sanpera, A. (2001). Clssification of mixed three- qubit states. *Physical Review Letters* 87, 040401.
- Bennett, C. H., Brassard, G., Crepeau, C., Jozsa, R., Peres, A., and Wootters, W. K. (1993). Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels. *Physical Review Letters* 70, 1895.
- Karlsson, A. and Bourennane, M. (1998). Quantum teleportation using three-particle entanglement. *Physics Review A* 58, 4393.
- Yeo, Y. (2005). Quantum teleportation using three-particle entanglement, LANL Report No. quantph/0302030.